

Version 1.0



**General Certificate of Education (A-level)
June 2012**

Statistics

SS03

(Specification 6380)

Statistics 3

Mark Scheme

Mark schemes are prepared by the Principal Examiner and considered, together with the relevant questions, by a panel of subject teachers. This mark scheme includes any amendments made at the standardisation events which all examiners participate in and is the scheme which was used by them in this examination. The standardisation process ensures that the mark scheme covers the students' responses to questions and that every examiner understands and applies it in the same correct way. As preparation for standardisation each examiner analyses a number of students' scripts: alternative answers not already covered by the mark scheme are discussed and legislated for. If, after the standardisation process, examiners encounter unusual answers which have not been raised they are required to refer these to the Principal Examiner.

It must be stressed that a mark scheme is a working document, in many cases further developed and expanded on the basis of students' reactions to a particular paper. Assumptions about future mark schemes on the basis of one year's document should be avoided; whilst the guiding principles of assessment remain constant, details will change, depending on the content of a particular examination paper.

Further copies of this Mark Scheme are available from: aqa.org.uk

Copyright © 2012 AQA and its licensors. All rights reserved.

Copyright

AQA retains the copyright on all its publications. However, registered schools/colleges for AQA are permitted to copy material from this booklet for their own internal use, with the following important exception: AQA cannot give permission to schools/colleges to photocopy any material that is acknowledged to a third party even for internal use within the centre.

Set and published by the Assessment and Qualifications Alliance.

Key to mark scheme abbreviations

M	mark is for method
m or dM	mark is dependent on one or more M marks and is for method
A	mark is dependent on M or m marks and is for accuracy
B	mark is independent of M or m marks and is for method and accuracy
E	mark is for explanation
√ or ft or F	follow through from previous incorrect result
CAO	correct answer only
CSO	correct solution only
AWFW	anything which falls within
AWRT	anything which rounds to
ACF	any correct form
AG	answer given
SC	special case
OE	or equivalent
A2,1	2 or 1 (or 0) accuracy marks
-x EE	deduct x marks for each error
NMS	no method shown
PI	possibly implied
SCA	substantially correct approach
c	candidate
sf	significant figure(s)
dp	decimal place(s)

No Method Shown

Where the question specifically requires a particular method to be used, we must usually see evidence of use of this method for any marks to be awarded.

Where the answer can be reasonably obtained without showing working and it is very unlikely that the correct answer can be obtained by using an incorrect method, we must award **full marks**. However, the obvious penalty to candidates showing no working is that incorrect answers, however close, earn **no marks**.

Where a question asks the candidate to state or write down a result, no method need be shown for full marks.

Where the permitted calculator has functions which reasonably allow the solution of the question directly, the correct answer without working earns **full marks**, unless it is given to less than the degree of accuracy accepted in the mark scheme, when it gains **no marks**.

Otherwise we require evidence of a correct method for any marks to be awarded.

Q	Solution	Marks	Total	Comments
1(a)	H ₀ Population median purchases = 5 H ₁ Population median purchases > 5 1 tail test 10% level signs - + + + + + + - + - +	B1		Pop can be implied if fully worded in context oe η not μ
	test stat = 3- / 9+	A1		for signs can be reversed or incorrect (WSR diff OK) for test stat 3 or 9
	Bin (12, 0.5) model	M1		for <u>use</u> of Bin model any B (12, 0.5) prob
	P($\leq 3-$) = 0.0730 < 0.10 Reject H ₀ . Significant evidence to suggest median number of packets has increased	M1 A1	6	for comparison ts and 10% cr {0,1,2,3} or {9,10,11,12} must see 0.0729/0.194 M1m1
(b)	Wilcoxon signed-rank test	B1	1	Just Wilcoxon
Total			7	
2	(a) ranks x 1, 3, 5, 6, 9, 10, 4, 2, 7, 8, 10, 8, 6, 5, 2, 1, 7, 9, 4, 3 y 1, 3, 5, 7, 9, 10, 4, 2, 6, 8 10, 8, 6, 4, 2, 1, 7, 9, 5, 3 r_s (from calculator) = 0.988 or 0.987 0.98/0.99 allow B2 if no method seen	M1		for any ranks
		M1		2 separate sets of ranks
		A1		All correct
		B3	6	alternatively differences, d : 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0 $\sum d^2 = 2$ M1 diffs $r_s = 1 - \frac{6 \times 2}{10 \times 99} = 0.988$ or 0.987 M1, A1
	(b) H ₀ no association H ₁ positive association 1 tail 1% test stat $r_s = 0.988$ critical value = 0.7333 tests stat > 0.7333 so significant evidence exists to reject H ₀ and conclude that a positive association exists. This suggests that hurricanes in which there are higher numbers of injuries also result in a greater cost in property damage (or positive assoc in context)	B1		Allow $p/\rho = 0$ or words Must be 1 tail
		B1 M1		for cv comparison ts/cv; ft r_s in (a) 0.7667, 0.7818/0.6485/0.700 B0 M1 E0
		E1	4	explanation in context
	(c)(i) see scatter diagram	M1 A1	2	8+ points effort plot OK (allow 1 small slip)
	(ii) There is evidence of a non linear relationship(or it is a curve)	B1	1	Must mention no (straight) line fit
Total			13	

Q	Solution	Marks	Total	Comments															
<p>3(a)</p> <p>H₀ Reaction is independent of eye colour H₁ Reaction is not independent of eye colour 1 tail 5%</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="236 479 708 721"> <thead> <tr> <th>Expected</th> <th>Blue</th> <th>Brown</th> <th>Other</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>None</td> <td>19.33</td> <td>12.00</td> <td>8.67</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Mild</td> <td>21.75</td> <td>13.50</td> <td>9.75</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Strong</td> <td>16.92</td> <td>10.50</td> <td>7.58</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> $ts = \sum \frac{(O - E)^2}{E}$ $= \frac{6.33^2}{19.33} + \frac{5^2}{12} + \dots + \frac{6.5^2}{10.5} + \frac{3.42^2}{7.58}$ $= 2.07 + 2.08 + \dots = 13.45$ <p>cv df = 4 5% cv = 9.488</p> <p>ts > 9.488 Reject H₀</p> <p>Sig evidence to suggest that skin reaction is not independent of eye colour.</p> <p>(b) Brown-eyed people more likely than expected to have a 'none' reaction (or blue-eyed people less likely than expected to have a 'none' reaction)</p> <p>Blue-eyed people more likely then expected to have a 'strong' reaction (or brown-eyed people less likely than expected to have a 'strong' reaction)</p> <p>'Other' less likely than expected to have a mild reaction (more likely to have a strong reaction)</p>	Expected	Blue	Brown	Other	None	19.33	12.00	8.67	Mild	21.75	13.50	9.75	Strong	16.92	10.50	7.58	<p>B1</p> <p>M1</p> <p>M1</p> <p>A1</p> <p>M1</p> <p>A1</p> <p>B1</p> <p>M1</p> <p>A1</p> <p>E1</p> <p>B1</p> <p>E1</p>	<p>10</p> <p>2</p> <p>12</p>	<p>H₀ independent /no assoc H₁ not independent/assoc</p> <p>Method for expected frequencies One row/col correct All correct to 1 dp (not integers but truncated OK)</p> <p>Numerator correct Denominator correct both</p> <p>ts correct (12.5 -14.5)</p> <p>df = 4 row B0 M1 A0 (7.779,11.143,13.277,14.86)</p> <p>Or p=0.00925 < 0.05; fit on ts</p> <p>In context fit conclusion</p> <p>Any one of these comments (ref none, mild or strong) acceptable – general idea correct Fully explained.</p> <p>Must mention more/less than <u>expected</u></p> <p>Not dep on (a)</p>
Expected	Blue	Brown	Other																
None	19.33	12.00	8.67																
Mild	21.75	13.50	9.75																
Strong	16.92	10.50	7.58																
	Total		12																

Q	Solution	Marks	Total	Comments																							
<p>4(a)</p> <p>Ranks</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="236 309 751 521"> <thead> <tr> <th>A</th> <th>B</th> <th>C</th> <th>D</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>3</td> <td>1</td> <td>8</td> <td>5</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4</td> <td>2</td> <td>12½</td> <td>14</td> </tr> <tr> <td>7</td> <td>6</td> <td>15</td> <td>16</td> </tr> <tr> <td>9</td> <td>11</td> <td>17</td> <td>19</td> </tr> <tr> <td>10</td> <td>12½</td> <td>18</td> <td>20</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>4(b)</p> <p>H₀ Samples from identical populations H₁ Samples not from identical populations 1% sig level</p> <p>Totals of ranks T_A = 33 T_B = 32½ T_C = 70½ T_D = 74 n_A = 5 n_B = 5 n_C = 5 n_D = 5</p> $\sum_{i=1}^m \frac{T_i^2}{n_i} = \frac{33^2}{5} + \frac{32\frac{1}{2}^2}{5} + \frac{70\frac{1}{2}^2}{5} + \frac{74^2}{5}$ $= 2518.3$ $H = \frac{12}{20 \times 21} \times 2518.3 - (3 \times 21)$ $= 8.95$ <p>Critical value from $\chi^2_3 = 11.345$ H < 11.345</p> <p>Accept H₀ No reason to doubt that samples are from identical populations. No significant difference in average number of injuries for the four visiting teams involved.</p> <p>4(c)</p> <p>A Type II error would be to conclude that H₀ is true, that is there is no difference between the average number of injuries for the four visiting teams involved when in fact H₀ is not true and the average numbers of injuries do differ.</p>	A	B	C	D	3	1	8	5	4	2	12½	14	7	6	15	16	9	11	17	19	10	12½	18	20	<p>M1</p> <p>A1</p> <p>B1</p> <p>m1</p> <p>m1</p> <p>m1</p> <p>A1</p> <p>B1</p> <p>E1</p> <p>B1</p> <p>E1</p>	<p>2</p> <p>2</p> <p>8</p> <p>2</p> <p>12</p>	<p>For ranks as one group – starting at 11</p> <p>All correct</p> <p>H₀ η_A = η_B = η_C = η_D or words H₁ At least 2 medians differ</p> <p>Totals-any effort at any ranks total</p> <p>Numerators correct Denominators correct</p> <p>H formula $\frac{12}{20 \times 21}$ and -63 (8.6 – 9.2)</p> <p>For cv 11.345 only</p> <p>Conclusion correct in context</p> <p>Correct Type II</p> <p>In context</p>
A	B	C	D																								
3	1	8	5																								
4	2	12½	14																								
7	6	15	16																								
9	11	17	19																								
10	12½	18	20																								
	Total		12																								

Q	Solution	Marks	Total	Comments
5(a)	Test A mean = 58.6 st dev = 19.2 or 20.3 Test B mean = 63.9 st dev = 16.0 or 17.0	B1 B1B1	3	B1 for both means B1,B1 for st dev must be consistent, awrt
(b)	PMCC $r = 0.894$ Or $0.893(3\text{ sf})$ (from calculator) 0.89 allow M1 M1 A0 (or B2) 0.9 allow B1 no method no ranks	B3	3	$36140 - \frac{527 \times 575}{9}$ or $r = \frac{36140 - \frac{527 \times 575}{9}}{57.552 \times 48.030} = 0.894 (3\text{ sf})$ M1(36140) M1(formula),A1
(c)(i)	H_0 Population median/mean/average score difference = 0 H_1 Population median/mean/average score difference $\neq 0$ 2 tail test 5 % level differences 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 4 -1 -17 -11 -15 -3 -2 10 -13 ranks 4 1 9 6 8 3 2 5 7 $T_+ = 4 + 5 = 9$ $T_- = 1 + 9 + 6 + 3 + 2 + 8 + 7 = 36$ test stat $T = 9$ critical value = 6 test stat > 6 Accept H_0 There is no significant evidence of a difference in mean scores for the two tests	B1 M1 m1 m1 A1 B1 m1 E1	8	or symbols $\mu \eta$ equal or not oe for differences all m dep diffs for ranks- rank 1= smallest disallow -17 rank 1 M0 for totals of any ranks correct test stat for cv (11,8,3 B0 M1 E0) for comparison lower ts/cvft; must be seen unless all correct in context
(ii)	The differences are symmetrically distributed.	E1	1	Must have differences
(d)	PMCC indicates results of tests show strong positive association – <u>consistent</u> results No sig difference in means so general similarity Higher st dev for test A indicates that this test may be more effective at discriminating between good/bad applicants	E1 E1 E1	3	For PMCC result and <u>consistency/similarity</u> For no sig diff means and <u>similarity</u> (award for similarity once only) for mentioning st dev and <u>discrimination</u>
(e)	If separate groups took the 2 tests so there may be differences between the level of difficulty of the tests which would affect the results. Half the number of people needed	B1 B1	2	concept of pairing removing effect of differences <u>in tests</u> disallow 'fair' allow eliminates/reduces exp error more likely to detect a difference if one exists
Total			20	

Q	Solution	Marks	Total	Comments																																				
6	<p>H_0 Samples are taken from identical populations H_1 Samples are not taken from identical populations 2 tails 5%</p> <p>Separated times with Ranks</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="2">M</th> <th colspan="2">A</th> </tr> <tr> <th>Times</th> <th>ranks</th> <th>Times</th> <th>ranks</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>19.2</td> <td>1 14</td> <td>21.3</td> <td>4 11</td> </tr> <tr> <td>22.4</td> <td>8 7</td> <td>22.3</td> <td>7 8</td> </tr> <tr> <td>26.8</td> <td>13 2</td> <td>19.6</td> <td>2 13</td> </tr> <tr> <td>22.5</td> <td>9 6</td> <td>20.2</td> <td>3 12</td> </tr> <tr> <td>24.8</td> <td>11 4</td> <td>21.7</td> <td>5½ 9½</td> </tr> <tr> <td>24.6</td> <td>10 5</td> <td>21.7</td> <td>5½ 9½</td> </tr> <tr> <td>28.4</td> <td>14 1</td> <td>26.2</td> <td>12 3</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p> $T_M = 66$ 39 $T_A = 39$ 66 $n_M = 7$ $n_A = 7$ </p> <p> $U_M = 66 - \frac{7 \times 8}{2} = 38$ $U_A = 39 - \frac{7 \times 8}{2} = 11$ $U = 11$ cv = 9 for $n = 7, m = 7$ 2 tail 5% $U > 9$ Accept H_0 No significant evidence of any difference between average journey times when travelling for the morning shift or for the afternoon shifts </p>	M		A		Times	ranks	Times	ranks	19.2	1 14	21.3	4 11	22.4	8 7	22.3	7 8	26.8	13 2	19.6	2 13	22.5	9 6	20.2	3 12	24.8	11 4	21.7	5½ 9½	24.6	10 5	21.7	5½ 9½	28.4	14 1	26.2	12 3	<p>B1</p> <p>M1 M1</p> <p>A1</p> <p>m1</p> <p>m1 A1</p> <p>B1</p> <p>M1</p> <p>A1</p> <p>E1</p>	<p>11</p>	<p>$H_0 \eta_M = \eta_A$ or words ref $H_1 \eta_M \neq \eta_A$ context Disallow mean</p> <p>Separated times effort (can be implied) Ranks as one group (either way)</p> <p>Ranks correct (5,6 or 9,10 OK)</p> <p>Ranks totalled (any ranks) m dep ranks</p> <p>Attempt to find U dep ranks, totals Either U correct</p> <p>cv correct cv = 9 only</p> <p>correct comparison, ft on wrong ts – must see 11/lower U oe upper tail unless all correct</p> <p>only if cv = 9 and $U = 11$</p> <p>In context. Can ft conclusion</p>
	M		A																																					
	Times	ranks	Times	ranks																																				
	19.2	1 14	21.3	4 11																																				
	22.4	8 7	22.3	7 8																																				
	26.8	13 2	19.6	2 13																																				
	22.5	9 6	20.2	3 12																																				
	24.8	11 4	21.7	5½ 9½																																				
	24.6	10 5	21.7	5½ 9½																																				
	28.4	14 1	26.2	12 3																																				
	Total		11																																					
	TOTAL		75																																					