Version: 1.0 0107



General Certificate of Education

Statistics 6380

SS03 Statistics Unit 3

Mark Scheme

2007 examination - January series

Mark schemes are prepared by the Principal Examiner and considered, together with the relevant questions, by a panel of subject teachers. This mark scheme includes any amendments made at the standardisation meeting attended by all examiners and is the scheme which was used by them in this examination. The standardisation meeting ensures that the mark scheme covers the candidates' responses to questions and that every examiner understands and applies it in the same correct way. As preparation for the standardisation meeting each examiner analyses a number of candidates' scripts: alternative answers not already covered by the mark scheme are discussed at the meeting and legislated for. If, after this meeting, examiners encounter unusual answers which have not been discussed at the meeting they are required to refer these to the Principal Examiner.

It must be stressed that a mark scheme is a working document, in many cases further developed and expanded on the basis of candidates' reactions to a particular paper. Assumptions about future mark schemes on the basis of one year's document should be avoided; whilst the guiding principles of assessment remain constant, details will change, depending on the content of a particular examination paper.

Further copies of this Mark Scheme are available to download from the AQA Website: www.aqa.org.uk Copyright © 2007 AQA and its licensors. All rights reserved.

COPYRIGHT

AQA retains the copyright on all its publications. However, registered centres for AQA are permitted to copy material from this booklet for their own internal use, with the following important exception: AQA cannot give permission to centres to photocopy any material that is acknowledged to a third party even for internal use within the centre. Set and published by the Assessment and Qualifications Alliance.

Key to mark scheme and abbreviations used in marking

M	mark is for method									
m or dM	mark is dependent on one or more M marks and is for method									
A	mark is dependent on M or m marks and is for accuracy									
В	mark is independent of M or m marks and is for method and accuracy									
E	mark is for explanation									
√or ft or F	follow through from previous									
	incorrect result	MC	mis-copy							
CAO	correct answer only	MR	mis-read							
CSO	correct solution only RA required accuracy									
AWFW	anything which falls within	FW	further work							
AWRT	anything which rounds to	ISW	ignore subsequent work							
ACF	any correct form	FIW	from incorrect work							
AG	answer given	BOD	given benefit of doubt							
SC	special case	WR	work replaced by candidate							
OE	or equivalent	FB	formulae book							
A2,1	2 or 1 (or 0) accuracy marks	NOS	not on scheme							
–x EE	deduct x marks for each error G graph									
NMS	no method shown	c	candidate							
PI	possibly implied	sf	significant figure(s)							
SCA	substantially correct approach	dp	decimal place(s)							
		•	=							

No Method Shown

Where the question specifically requires a particular method to be used, we must usually see evidence of use of this method for any marks to be awarded. However, there are situations in some units where part marks would be appropriate, particularly when similar techniques are involved. Your Principal Examiner will alert you to these and details will be provided on the mark scheme.

Where the answer can be reasonably obtained without showing working and it is very unlikely that the correct answer can be obtained by using an incorrect method, we must award **full marks**. However, the obvious penalty to candidates showing no working is that incorrect answers, however close, earn **no marks**.

Where a question asks the candidate to state or write down a result, no method need be shown for full marks.

Where the permitted calculator has functions which reasonably allow the solution of the question directly, the correct answer without working earns **full marks**, unless it is given to less than the degree of accuracy accepted in the mark scheme, when it gains **no marks**.

Otherwise we require evidence of a correct method for any marks to be awarded.

Jan 07

SS03

Q	Solution	Marks	Total	Comments
1	$H_0 \eta = 6$	B1		or H_0 population median = 6
	H_1 $\eta > 6$ 1 tail 5%			H_1 population median > 6
	•			or fully in words
				·
	Difference Rank			
	<i>X</i> – 6 + –	M1		for differences
	4 4½			(X-6 or 6-X)
	2 2			
	-1 1	m1		for ranks (1 = smallest diff)
	-4 $4\frac{1}{2}$			m0 if 0 = rank 1
	5 6			
	7			
	7 8 3			
	8 9			
	6 7			
	0 17			
	1 1	m1F		for total of +/– ranks
	Rank totals $T_{-} = 5\frac{1}{2}$ $T_{+} = 39\frac{1}{2}$	11111		ft if any ranks
	2 2	A1		
	Test stat $T = 5\frac{1}{2}$			
	critical value = 8 $n = 9$	B1		for cv
	T < cv	M1		for comparison ts/cv
	Reject H ₀			_
	There is significant evidence to suggest	A1	8	
	that the median for 18-year-old females is			
	greater than 6.		-	
	Total		8	

Q	Solution	Marks	Total	Comments
2(a)(i)	H ₀ Response is independent of sex	B1		
	H ₁ Response is not independent of sex			
	1 tail 1%			
	Approve Dis- Don't			
	approve care			
	Male 83.3 47.8 221.9	M1		E method for 3 correct
	Female 85.7 49.2 228.1	m1		for all E correct
	$rac{1}{2}(Q-E)^2$			
	$ts = \sum \frac{(O - E)^2}{F}$			
	E			
	$-\frac{12.3^2}{83.3} + \frac{12.8^2}{47.8} + \frac{25.1^2}{221.9} +$			
	= 83.3 47.8 221.9	m1		ts sum with correct denominators
	$-\frac{12.3^2}{85.7} + \frac{12.8^2}{49.2} + \frac{25.1^2}{228.1}$	1111		ts sum with correct denominators
	${85.7} + {49.2} + {228.1}$			
	= 16.0	A1		for ts in range 15.7 - 16.2
	cv df = 2 1% $cv = 9.210$	B1		for cv
	ts > 9.210	m1		for comparison ts/cv
	Reject H ₀			101 Companion to C
	Sig evidence to suggest response is not	A1F	8	ft if ts is very close and method is ok
	independent of sex	1111	O	To it is is very close and method is on
	macpendent of sen			
(a)(ii)	Males are much less likely than expected			
(4)(11)	to disapprove of the royal wedding and			
	females are much more likely than is			
	expected to disapprove of the royal	E1		explanation in context
	wedding			r
	Most noticeable differences in the sexes is	E1	2	with reference to expected/observed
	that females were more likely to have an			•
	opinion of some sort about the wedding			
	whereas males were more likely not to			
	care			
(a)(iii)	Most adults involved did not appear to	E1	1	not men/women
	care about the royal wedding			
(b)(i)	Data in Table 2 cannot be analysed to	E1	1	mention of % or not actual frequencies
	investigate whether an association exists			given
	because the raw frequencies are not			
	supplied, only the percentages. This			
	means than a χ^2 test cannot be carried out.			
(ii)	The total number of males and the total	E1		totals required for males and females
	number of females in the sample is			
	required.			
	The raw frequencies in each category can	E1	2	how total is used
	then be found by evaluating the relevant			
	percentage of the total eg 41% of the total			SC 'convert into frequencies' B1
	number of males gives the raw frequency			
	in the first cell (male / support monarchy)			
	Total		14	

Q	Solution	Marks	Total	Comments
3(a)	('difference':weekend – weekday)			
	$H_0 \eta_{\text{difference}} = 0$			
	$H_1 \eta_{\text{difference}} > 0$ 1 tail 10%	B1		direction generous if fully worded and median / average
	Signs			_
	+ + + - + - + + + +	M1		signs
	8 ⁺ / 2 ⁻ signs - test values	A1		test stat correct and identified
	Binomial (10, 0.5) model	M1		binomial model used and probability attempted
	$P (\ge 8^+) = P(\le 2^-) = 0.0547 < 0.10$	M1		comparison of Binomial probability with
	for one tail test			0.10
	Reject H ₀ .	A1		
	There is sufficient evidence, at the 10% level, to suggest that the median difference is greater than 0			
	Significant evidence that standardised	E1F	7	interpretation in context
	mortality ratio is greater at the weekend			ft conclusion
(b)	A Type II error would be to conclude that H_0 is true, that is the mortality ratio is not	B1		concept of Type II correct
	higher at weekends when, in fact H ₀ is	E1	2	in context
	false and the ratio at weekends is higher			
	than the weekday ratio			
	Total		9	

Q	Solution		Marks	Total	Comments
4(a)	H ₀ Samples from identical	populations			or H_0 $\eta_A = \eta_B = \eta_C$
	H ₁ Samples not from ident	ical	B1		H_1 at least two of η_A, η_B, η_C do differ
	populations				TA TB TC
	5% sig level				
	Ranks				
	Department Department	Department			
	A B 3 1	7 7	M1		some ranks
	9 2	8	401		A10 5
	11 4	10	A2,1		A1 for 5
	14 5	12			A2 for all
	15 6	13			
	17	16			
	$T_{\rm A}=69 \qquad T_{\rm B}=18$	$T_{\rm C} = 66$	M1		totals of some ranks
	$n_{\rm A}=6$ $n_{\rm B}=5$	$n_{\rm C} = 6$	A1		any one correct
	$\sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{T_i^2}{n_i} = \frac{69^2}{6} + \frac{18^2}{5} + \frac{66^2}{5} = \frac{1}{5}$	_ 150/12	3.54		
	$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n_i} = \frac{1}{6} + \frac{1}{5} + \frac{1}{5}$	- 1304.3	M1		
	$H = \frac{12}{17 \times 18} \times 1584.3 - (3)$	10) 0 12			$12 \sum_{i=1}^{m} T_i^2$
	$n = \frac{17 \times 18}{17 \times 18} \times 1384.3 - (3)$	× 18) = 8.15	m1	$\frac{12}{N(N+1)} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{T_i^2}{n_i} - 3 (N+1)$	
			A1		test stat $H = 7.80 - 8.40$
	Critical value from $\chi_2^2 = 5$.99	B1		11 ,100 0110
	H > 5.99	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	M1		
	Sig evidence to reject H_0 at	nd conclude	A1	12	
	that samples are not from ic		711	12	
	populations. At least 2 diffe				
(b)	Department B had the lowe	st median	B1		identification of B
	score and, as there is significant	icant evidence			
	that at least two of the med				
	(from departments A, B or				
	would seem likely that departments achieved lower s		E1	2	avalenation in contact of recease D
	Managers achieved lower saverage and so this department		E1	2	explanation in context of reason B selected
	unlikely to have received an				'median' or 'average' required or
	payment	i amidai oonas			explanation all B scores low
		Total		14	

Q	Solution	Marks	Total	Comments
5	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
	populations H ₁ Samples are not taken from identical populations – population average time to	B1		hypotheses referring to population, averages also acceptable
	become over-ripe is lower for 'chilled' bananas 1 tail 5%	B1		for direction/explanation [other alternative methods acceptable]
	Sum of ranks 'Chilled' $2+6+5+8+1 = 22 = T_C$ 'Stored at 10° C' $3+4+9+10+7+11 = 44 = T_S$	m1		for totals of ranks in each group
	$U_{\rm C} = 22 - \frac{5 \times 6}{2} = 7$	m1		for U attempted
	$U_{S} = 44 - \frac{6 \times 7}{2} = 23$ Test stat $U = 7$	A1		for U correct, either
	cv = 5	B1		for consistent cv with U
	U > 5	M1		for comparison U/cv for any valid U/cv
	Accept H ₀	A1		,
	No significant evidence at the 5% level to	E1	9	in context
	suggest that the population average time			
	to become over-ripe is lower for 'chilled'			
	bananas			
	Total		9	

Q			Soluti	ion			Marks	Total	Comments
6(a)(i)									(r = 0.927)
	student	1	2	3	4	5			
	micro rank	1	2	3	4	5			
	macro rank	1	2	5	7	4	M1 A1		attempt at ranks
	student micro	6	7	8	9	10			
	rank	6	7	8	9	10			
	macro rank	3	6	8	9	10			
	$r_{\rm s} = 0.854(5)$ (3 sf from calc)						В3	5	Alternative $d = 0, 0, 2, 3, 1, 3, 1, 0, 0, 0$ $\sum d^2 = 24$ $B1r_s = 1 - \frac{6 \times 24}{10 \times 99}$
									= 0.854(5) M1, A1 (2 sf and no working SC4, A0)
(a)(ii)	H ₀ Rank of marks and independent H ₁ Rank of marks and not independent	macro nt. orders macro	o-econ of mic o-econ	omics ro-ecc omics	marks nomic marks	s are	B1		H ₀ no association H ₁ association
	$cv = \pm 0.$		- 4 / = \				B1		for cv
	test stat $r_s = r_s > 0.733$			> cv			M1		for comparison ts/cv $r_s = 0.854(5)$
	Reject H ₀ Significant						A1		allow A1 if r 'close' and marks lost in (a)(i)
	suggest an orders of n macro-eco [Student w economics macro-eco	nicro-e nomic vith hig also h	econor s mark gher ra nas hig	nics m ks. ink ma	narks a ark in 1	and micro-	E1	5	in context

Q		Solution		Marks	Total	Comments
6(b)	H_0 $\mu_{ m difference}$					
	$H_1 \mu_{\text{difference}} \neq 0$ 2 tail 5%		B1		or η or population average or words	
	G4 1 4	D 1	M1		for differences	
	Student Difference Rank			IVII		for differences
	1	mac-mic	10			
	1	-10				
	3	6	6½	m1		for ranks (1 = smallest diff)
	4	6		m1		ties
		- 2	6½	1111		ties .
	5	- 2 - 9	8			
	7	- 9 - 5	5			
	8	- 3 - 3	3			
	9	- 3	Discard			
	10	- 1	Discard 1			
	10	- 1	1			
	Dowle Askala /	T 17	m1F			
	Rank totals $T_{-} = 28$ $T_{+} = 17$					for total of +/– ranks
	Test stat $T = 1$		A1		IOF total OI +/- ranks	
	rest stat $T = 1$ critical value		0	B1		for cv
	T > cv	– 0 <i>n</i> –	9	M1		for comparison ts/cv
	Accept H_0		IVII		Tor comparison is/ev	
	_	ence to suggest	A1	9		
			Al	9		
	that there is a difference between the average marks for macro-economics and					
	micro-econon		conomics and			
	illicio-ecolloli	ines				
(c)	It appears, fro	om (a) that stu	idents who do	B1		
	well in micro-					
	macro-econor					
			idents perform	E1	2	
	better in one of					
	other. Good s					
		o pattern as to				
	which they pe					
			Total		21	
			TOTAL		75	